

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill  
**Sociology 66**  
**First-Year Seminar:**  
**Citizenship & Society in the United States**  
**Or...**  
**Power to the People?**

Fall 2018  
Tuesday & Thursday, 11:00 am - 12:15 pm  
Graham Memorial 210

Andrew J. Perrin  
Office: Hamilton 159

Phone: 919-962-6876

Email: [andrew\\_perrin@unc.edu](mailto:andrew_perrin@unc.edu)

Office Hours: Tuesdays, 2:00-3:30; Wednesdays, 10:30-12:00; or by appointment

**Course Description:**

“It is a dignified proposition with us—is it not?—that as is the majority, so ought the government to be.”

-Woodrow Wilson, “Leaders of Men,” June 17, 1890

Popular sovereignty — or the idea that the people rule themselves — has been heralded as one of the preeminent ideas of modernity. And over the course of the last two hundred or so years, a rising tide of nations committed themselves to the principles of popular sovereignty. Yet in recent years, the inevitability, soundness, and very viability of “rule by the people” has come into question. On the one hand, popular uprisings around the globe have rejected the decisions and practices of governing elites on the grounds that they are out of touch with the people’s needs. On the other hand, these uprisings have resurrected and strengthened authoritarian practices and have facilitated the erosion of liberal rights long considered instrumental to preserving democracy. The result — turmoil, unrest, and uncertainty about what the future holds — is evident from Venezuela to England, Turkey to the United States. Can popular sovereignty survive? In what form, and at what cost?

This class is an investigation into the idea and practice of popular sovereignty in the contemporary United States. We will explore this topic by actively consulting theory and empirical research in the social sciences. We will supplement this with our own research on the 2018 election, media coverage of issues, popular attitudes about democracy, and popular representation in government and by interest/advocacy groups. In other words, this class is part discussion seminar and part hands-on active research.

Additionally, this class is organized as a collaboration with a similarly structured first-year course being taught at Williams College. Over the course of the semester, the two classes will meet frequently via

videoconference to share research and discuss readings and ideas (on the course outline, all dates that are starred (\*\*)) are dates when we will spend a portion of the class in video conference). This is intended to broaden the perspectives brought to bear on our investigation generally and, specifically, to allow each class to share, for comparative purposes, real time research on the politics of the region in which their respective institutions are located. Finally, the two classes will meet in Washington, DC, in November (roughly November 15-17) to complete the collaborative research investigation. (Students who cannot come on the trip may participate in an alternative assignment and will not be penalized.)

One aim of this course is to introduce you to the concept of popular sovereignty in theory and practice. Another aim is to help you develop research skills and inclinations. This will help you if you pursue additional coursework, a major, or even a thesis in the social sciences. More than that, though, our aim is to encourage you, many of whom are just beginning your lives as rights- and responsibility-bearing participatory citizens, to think innovatively and creatively about the tasks, challenges, and opportunities of popular sovereignty. Our hope is to model forms of open-minded exploration, civil discussion, and creative investigation that we believe are necessary for citizens to rejuvenate popular governance in this moment.

#### **Course Materials:**

There is one book needed for this class: Jan Werner Muller, *What is Populism?* (University of Pennsylvania Press, 2017). It is available in the Student Stores.

We will be sharing a course site with our Williams colleagues on Glow. The website is <http://glow.williams.edu> and will also be linked from UNC's Sakai site. Additional readings are online (accessible with hyperlinks in the syllabus and/or available on the course Glow site).

#### **Other Resources:**

Have a dictionary close at hand to look up words you don't know. You can find an adequate one at <http://www.dictionary.com> if you prefer using an online version.

The UNC Writing Center (<http://www.unc.edu/depts/wcweb>) can help you with writing clearly and correctly.

#### **Course Requirements:**

All readings should be completed by the day for which they are assigned. Please note that there is considerable variation in the reading load for any given day and/or week. Some weeks we will be reading quite a lot. In others, there will be much less as we will be focussed on other types of research and writing tasks. It is your job to plan your work accordingly.

**Active Class Participation (20 percent):** One of your primary responsibilities for this class is active participation. This means that you come to class having completed the reading and any other assignments and that you actively engage in discussion and other activities with thoughtful and intelligent input. To

facilitate this, you are required to post a question and comment on the day's reading(s) at least 4 times during the semester on Glow. Think of these as *very* short blog posts or comments on a news article -- they can be written in a casual tone (though complete sentences and coherent thought are required). These are ungraded, but failure to do these or doing them in haste or thoughtlessly will impact your participation grade. They are intended to help you organize your thoughts in advance of our class discussion and to give me some sense of how students are responding to the readings so that I can direct discussion accordingly. Be prepared to present and defend your ideas in class. Additionally, you may occasionally be called upon to present the ideas in one of the day's reading assignment. If you happen to be caught unprepared (it happens to all of us), you are allowed two passes in the semester before it impacts your participation grade.

**Four essays (two 10 percent, two 20 percent):**

Essays will be assigned in class, and are due approximately two weeks later. Essays should be turned in via the Glow website. They are due no later than 5:00 pm on the due date.

Essay 1 (10%): Assigned August 23, Due September 7

Essay 2 (20%): Assigned September 13, Due September 28

Essay 3 (10%): Assigned October 16, Due October 26

Essay 4 (20%): Assigned November 20, Due December 6

While the first assignment is primarily a readings based essay, the remaining three will integrate our research projects as well as readings. For the final essay, in particular, you will be asked to reflect on the research that emerges from the DC trip (or school based alternative) as well as course readings. Precise details of the assignments will be handed out at least two weeks before they are due.

**Media and Election Day Group Projects (10 percent each):** These are graded group or team research projects, potentially in collaboration with Williams student(s):

Media Group Projects: Begin in class October 9, presentations October 23 and 25

Election Day Group Projects: Observations November 6, collaborative review due November 20

Completing these requirements fully and adequately will earn you a B in the course. Completing them *exceptionally well* will earn you a B+, A-, or A, depending on the quality of work. Not completing them, or completing them less than adequately, will earn you a B- or below.

Your participation in this course is covered by the UNC Honor Code (see <http://studentconduct.unc.edu/students> ). I take academic dishonesty—including, but not limited to, plagiarism—very seriously. There will be no excuses or second chances; if you have plagiarized the ideas or words of someone else without giving credit, or if you have cheated in other ways, you will be referred to the Student Attorney General. If you have questions as to what constitutes academic dishonesty, check <http://www2.lib.unc.edu/instruct/plagiarism> or consult me.

## Course Schedule:

### I. The Crisis of the Moment and Why We Should Care About Popular Sovereignty

**August 21 – Welcome! Introduction to the class and to one another**

**August 23 – Previewing the 2018 Election Season**

*First Essay Assignment (10%) distributed in class.*

**August 28 – Visions of Democracy**

- *Federalist Papers*, #10 and #51, [http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th\\_century/fed10.asp](http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/fed10.asp) and [http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th\\_century/fed51.asp](http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/fed51.asp)
- Sharon Statement, <http://www.yaf.org/news/the-sharon-statement/>
- Port Huron Statement, [http://www2.iath.virginia.edu/sixties/HTML\\_docs/Resources/Primary/Manifestos/SDS\\_Port\\_Huron.html](http://www2.iath.virginia.edu/sixties/HTML_docs/Resources/Primary/Manifestos/SDS_Port_Huron.html)

*Discussion questions:* What visions of democracy are promoted, implicitly or explicitly, in these documents? Do today's politicians and candidates align themselves with one vision of democracy more than another? In comparing contemporary and original statements, what are the different visions of democracy being promoted? To what extent do they depend on the veracity of the truth claims being made?

**August 30 – Contemporary democratic demands**

- Black Lives Matter Statement of Purpose <https://blacklivesmatter.com/about/what-we-believe/>
- Occupy Wall Street, First Official Press Release <http://occupywallst.org/forum/first-official-release-from-occupy-wall-street/>
- Trump's inaugural address <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/the-inaugural-address/>
- Tea Party Patriots Mission Statement, <https://www.teapartypatriots.org/ourvision/>

*Discussion questions:* in comparing contemporary and original statements, what are the different visions of democracy being promoted? To what extent do they depend on the veracity of the truth claims being made? Connections, cross-over, or new beasts from articles of the 28th.

**September 4 – Group Projects: Democratic Ideals in Practice**

**September 6 - Preparation to introduce/present what we've learned to Williams students**

**September 7 - First Essay Assignment Due (5:00 pm, submit via Glow)**

**Evidence on the Current Crisis of Democracy**

**September 11\*\* - Introduction to Williams class and our perspectives on North Carolina election season**

**September 13\*\* - Do we still believe in democracy?**

- Yascha Mounk, “Still the One,” *Slate*, 4/23/18  
<https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2018/04/shania-twain-and-the-crisis-of-american-democracy-really.html>
- Ari Adut, “A Theory of Scandal: Victorians, Homosexuality, and the Fall of Oscar Wilde,” *American Journal of Sociology* 2005, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/428816>

*Discussion questions:* Why, according to Mounk (and the authors he cites) do substantial segments of the public who acknowledge his deceits continue to support Donald Trump? What insight does this provide about public support for the broader political system, and what might account for this? How does Adut’s theory help to flesh this out or add to our understanding? Can we apply it to American political, economic, and social life in recent decades?

*Second Essay assignment (20%) will be distributed in class.*

**September 18 - Voters and Disagreement**

- *Vox* interview with Larry Bartels and Christopher Achen, “Two Eminent Political Scientists: The Problem with Democracy is Voters,” *Vox*, 2016,  
<https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2017/6/1/15515820/donald-trump-democracy-brexit-2016-election-europe>
- Marc Hetherington, “Why Polarized Trust Matters,” *The Forum*, 2015 (GLOW)
- Bill Bishop, *The Big Sort* (2008) excerpt on NPR, 2008,  
<https://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=92292747>
- Lynn Vavreck, “A Measure of Identity: Are You Wedded to Your Party?” *NYT*, 1/31/17,  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/31/upshot/are-you-married-to-your-party.html>
- Chris Wells et al, “When We Stop Talking Politics: The Maintenance and Closing of Conversation in Contentious Times,” *Journal of Communication*, 2017, (click on link to PDF in <https://academic.oup.com/joc/article/67/1/131/4082436>)
- Natasha Lennard and Nicholas Mirzoeff, “What Protest Looks Like,” *NYT*, 8/3/2016,  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/03/opinion/what-protest-looks-like.html>
- Mohammad Ali Kadivar and Neil Ketchley, “Sticks, Stones, and Molotov Cocktails: Collective Violence and Democratization,” 2018, <https://osf.io/preprints/socarxiv/tdcae/>

*Discussion questions:* How did the U.S. get to the point it is at now? What key factors appear to have driven or sustained polarization? Building on this, can we think of solutions (behavioral, cultural, or institutional) that might begin to address polarization and tribalism? What limits should there be on how people express and pursue disagreement?

**September 20\*\* - Solutions and limits on tribalism**

*Assignment:* Come prepared to present proposed **solutions** from our 9/18 discussion and be ready to discuss and critique the solutions offered by Williams students.

*Additional discussion questions:* How out of bounds should violence be? Taking seriously the notion that violence in some cases is something that individuals and groups feel is being perpetrated by the state against them, are there acceptable grounds for “self-defense” and who decides?

## II. The Challenge of the Public -- Seeking Unity in Diversity?

### September 25 - What is the Public? Does it Exist?

- Alexis de Tocqueville, *Democracy in America*, selection on popular sovereignty (GLOW)
- Edmund S. Morgan. *Inventing the People: The Rise of Popular Sovereignty in England and America*. New York: WW Norton, pp. 13-15, 55-77, 263-287. (GLOW)
- Buskirk, “If there’s a Red Wave Election in 2018, This Will be Why,” *NYT*, June 8, 2018  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/08/opinion/sunday/if-theres-a-red-wave-election-in-2018-this-will-be-why.html>

*Discussion Questions:* How are the concepts of *popular sovereignty* and *the public* treated in these readings? What do they seem to mean and what are the assumptions about their functioning?

### September 27 - The Public in the 21st Century

- Noortje Marres, “Issues Spark a Public Into Being: A Key But Often Forgotten Point of the Lippmann-Dewey Debate.” pp. 208-217 in Bruno Latour and Peter Weibel, eds., *Making Things Public: Atmospheres of Democracy*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2005. (Glow)
- Melvin Rogers, “Democracy Is a Habit: Practice It.” *Boston Review* July 25, 2018.  
<http://bostonreview.net/politics/melvin-rogers-democracy-habit-practice-it>
- “Parks and Rec” episode (via Glow)

*Discussion Questions:* What is the vision of the public and/or democracy that is implicit or explicit in each of these treatments? How do they compare to the readings we did for 9/25? How is our understanding of popular sovereignty challenged, undone, or reinforced through the narrative arc of the “Parks and Rec” episode (a contemporary popular take)?

### September 28 - Second Essay Assignment Due (5:00 pm, submit via Glow)

### October 2 - The Public and Imagined Communities

- Cato #3, 1787, in *The Founders’ Constitution*  
<http://press-pubs.uchicago.edu/founders/documents/v1ch4s16.html>
- Samuel Huntington, “One Nation Out of Many: Why ‘Americanization’ of Newcomers is Still Important,” *American Enterprise Institute*, 2004,  
<http://www.aei.org/publication/one-nation-out-of-many/>
- Sarah Song, “What Does it Mean to be an American?” *Daedalus*, Spring 2009,  
<https://www.mitpressjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1162/daed.2009.138.2.31>
- “The 9.9% is the New American Aristocracy,” *The Atlantic*  
<https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2018/06/the-birth-of-a-new-american-aristocracy/559130/>
- Katherine Cramer, “The Views of Populists: What Trump Voters’ Perspectives and Perceptions of Trump Voters Tell Us About Democracy,” 2017,

[https://faculty.polisci.wisc.edu/kwalsh2/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Cramer\\_Views-of-populists\\_PrincetonIdentity2017\\_FINAL\\_r.pdf](https://faculty.polisci.wisc.edu/kwalsh2/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Cramer_Views-of-populists_PrincetonIdentity2017_FINAL_r.pdf)

*Discussion questions:* What is it that binds Americans into one common public, according to these authors? Are the concerns expressed by anti-federalists, like Cato, at the founding still relevant? Is there a tension between what binds Americans and the lived experience of (some) Americans? How do the authors who see a common public reconcile the differences that Cato or someone like Rogers Smith, writing on the multiple traditions in America, suggests are there? Do we even need a common public?

#### **October 4\*\* - The Public in Practice**

- Declaration of Independence. <https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/declaration-transcript>
- Constitution and Bill of Rights. <https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/constitution-transcript> and <https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/bill-of-rights-transcript>
- NC Constitution, <https://www.ncleg.net/Legislation/constitution/nconstitution.html>
- MA Constitution, <https://malegislature.gov/Laws/Constitution>

*UNC students will present key ideas from the North Carolina constitution; Williams students will present key ideas from the Massachusetts constitution.*

*Group discussion: how do these documents try to address the issues of democracy, publics, and community we have discussed in the prior 3 classes? Where are there indications of unity? Where division?*

#### **October 9 - Media group research projects**

#### **October 11 - The public made by the media**

- Sarah Sobieraj and Jeffrey M. Berry. "From Incivility to Outrage: Political Discourse in Blogs, Talk Radio, and Cable News." *Political Communication* 28:1 (2011): 19-41. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10584609.2010.542360>
- Christopher Bail, Lisa Argyle, Taylor Brown, John Bumpus, Haohan Chen, M. B. Fallin Hunzaker, Jaemin Lee, Marcus Mann, Friedolin Merhout, and Alexander Volfovsky. "Exposure to Opposing Views can Increase Political Polarization: Evidence from a Large-Scale Field Experiment on Social Media." <https://osf.io/preprints/socarxiv/4ygux/>
- Mostafa El-Berwamy, "Your Echo Chamber is Destroying Democracy," *Wired*, 11/18/16. <https://www.wired.com/2016/11/filter-bubble-destroying-democracy/>
- Diana Mutz, "Effects of 'In-Your-Face' Television Discourse on Perceptions of a Legitimate Opposition," *APSR* 101:4 (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000305540707044X>
- Andrew Guess, Brendan Nyhan, and Jason Reifler, "Selective Exposure to Misinformation: Evidence from the consumption of fake news during the 2016 U.S. presidential campaign," *European Research Council*, 1/9/18. <http://www.dartmouth.edu/~nyhan/fake-news-2016.pdf>
- Levi Boxell, Matthew Gentzkow, Jesse Shapiro, "Is the Internet Causing Political Polarization: Evidence from Demographics," *NBER Working Paper*, March 2017 <https://www.nber.org/papers/w23258>

*Discussion questions:* Is the media merely a mirror to society? In what ways is it and in what ways is it not? How might we reconcile the different conclusions of the authors we've read for today? Are some



forms and forums more egregious in their impact on public discourse and citizen support of a common public? How so? Is there any incentive to change, and if not, might an incentive be conceived and implemented? In other words, if media is part of the problem in constructing a deliberative, rational public, how can the problem be made better?

#### **October 16 - Obstacles to a Unified Public**

- “Perceived Accuracy and Bias in the News Media.” Gallup/Knight Foundation, 2018. [https://kf-site-production.s3.amazonaws.com/publications/pdfs/000/000/255/original/KnightFoundation\\_AccuracyandBias\\_Report\\_FINAL.pdf](https://kf-site-production.s3.amazonaws.com/publications/pdfs/000/000/255/original/KnightFoundation_AccuracyandBias_Report_FINAL.pdf)
- Tamar Wildner. “We can probably measure media bias. But do we want to?” *Columbia Journalism Review* January 9, 2018. <https://www.cjr.org/innovations/measure-media-bias-partisan.php>
- Prasad, M. , Perrin, A. J., Bezila, K. , Hoffman, S. G., Kindleberger, K. , Manturuk, K. , Powers, A. S. and Payton, A. R. (2009), “The Undeserving Rich: ‘Moral Values’ and the White Working Class.” *Sociological Forum*, 24: 225-253. doi:[10.1111/j.1573-7861.2009.01098.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1573-7861.2009.01098.x)
- Michael Dawson, “After the Deluge” *WEB Dubois Review*, 2006, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742058X06060176>
- Mackuen, Wolak, Keele, Marcus, “Civic Engagements: Resolute Partisanship or Reflective Deliberation?” *AJPS*, 2010 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25652216>
- Robert Putnam NPR interview, “Does Diversity Really Work?” <https://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=12802663>

*Discussion questions:* Can media be a corrective for a divided public? Can the public acquire information in such a way that citizens can come to see a common “truth” (even if they disagree on the merits or solutions for that issue/policy “truth”)? Why or why not? What are the most salient lines of division, and why, in the quest for creating a common, deliberating public?

*Third Essay assignment (10%) will be distributed in class.*

**October 18 - Fall Break - no class**

**October 23\*\* - Media group project presentations**

**October 25\*\* - Media group project presentations**

**October 26 - Third Essay Assignment Due (5:00 pm, submit via Glow)**

### **III. The Challenge of Representation**

**October 30\*\* - Elections and Representation**

- Hannah F. Pitkin, “On Representation,” pp. 8-12 (as excerpted) (GLOW)
- Andrew Reynolds, “North Carolina is No Longer a Democracy” <http://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/op-ed/article122593759.html> and “North Carolina’s democracy was bad at the start of 2017. A year later it’s much worse.” <http://www.newsobserver.com/opinion/op-ed/article198165644.html>



- Susan Myrick. ‘Nonpartisan’ Redistricting Is Just a Fantasy. NC Civitas, January 20, 2017. <https://www.nccivitas.org/2017/nonpartisan-redistricting-just-fantasy/>
- Jane Mansbridge. “Should Blacks Represent Blacks and Women Represent Women? A Contingent ‘Yes’” *Journal of Politics*, Vol. 61, No. 3. (Aug., 1999), pp. 628-657. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2647821.pdf>
- Lani Guinier. “Groups, Representation, and Race Conscious Districting: A Case of the Emperor’s Clothes.” Chapter 5 in *The Tyranny of the Majority: Fundamental Fairness in Representative Democracy*. New York: Free Press, 1994.
- Percy Bacon Jr., “Why the Republican Party Elects so Few Women,” *fivethirtyeight* <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/why-the-republican-party-isnt-electing-more-women/>
- NYT Editorial, “Vote for me! For second place at least,” *NYT*, 6/09/18 <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/06/09/opinion/ranked-choice-voting-maine-san-francisco.html>

*Discussion questions:* What does it mean to “represent” the public? One might argue that representing the public will in government is only as good as the rules that facilitate that representation: Do you think that’s true? And if yes, how good are the current rules for representation? Do American rules facilitate one kind of representation better than another — and is that problematic from the perspective of popular sovereignty?

### **November 1\*\* - More than just elections: The role of intermediaries**

- Samuel Huntington, “Paradigms of American Politics: Beyond the One, The Two, and the Many,” *Political Science Quarterly*, 1974, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2148113>
- APSA Committee on Political Parties, *Toward a More Responsible Two-Party System*, 1950
- Re-read Federalist #10 [http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th\\_century/fed10.asp](http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/fed10.asp)
- Dara Z. Strolovitch, “Do Interest Groups Represent the Disadvantaged? Advocacy at the Intersections of Race, Class, and Gender,” *Journal of Politics* (2006), <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1468-2508.2006.00478.x>
- Kathleen Bawn, Martin Cohen, David Karol, Seth Masket, Hans Noel, and John Zaller, “A Theory of Political Parties: Groups, Policy Demands, and Nominations in American Politics,” in *Perspectives on Politics*, 10:3 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592712001624>

### **November 6 - Election Day**

*Exercise:* Read about the rules surrounding the local election (and election place). Visit and observe the election place. Interview voters, election staff, and campaign staff (outside the election site). Take photos and videos. How did they decide whom to vote for? What are the most important issues? Do voters feel represented? Are their outcomes that would make them feel more or less represented? How easy do they find voting to be?

### **November 8\*\* - Debrief: What happened Tuesday?**

### **November 13 - Governing Institutions in the US**

- Sanford Levinson, with Robert Post and Barney Frank, “Our Undemocratic Constitution: Where the U.S. Constitution Goes Wrong (and How We the People Can Correct It)” exchange in the *Bulletin of the American Academy of the Arts and Sciences*, Winter 2007. <https://amacad.org/publications/bulletin/winter2007/undemocratic.pdf>

- Binder, Sarah, “The Dysfunctional Congress.” *Annual Review of Political Science* 18:1 (2015), 85-101. <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev-polisci-110813-032156>
- Frances Lee, “How Party Polarization Affects Governance,” *Annual Review of Political Science*, 2015. <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev-polisci-072012-113747>
- Paul Pierson, “Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics,” *American Political Science Review* 94:2 (2000) <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2586011>
- Sarah Binder, “Three Reasons You Should Expect Congressional Gridlock on Gun Control,” *Washington Post*, 2018. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2018/02/27/yes-you-can-expect-congressional-gridlock-on-gun-regulations-these-are-the-3-biggest-barriers-to-action/>

*Discussion questions:* How well do U.S. institutions convert public will into outcomes? What are the problems or obstacles to their democratic performance? Is there an argument to be made against them being more democratic?

**November 15 - Students travelling to Washington: no class.**

*Assignment for students not on trip: small research project on public opinion and representation; assignment will be provided in class.*

**November 20\*\* - Debrief and discuss DC trip and non-traveling students’ projects**

*Fourth Essay assignment will be distributed in class.*

**November 27 - Popular Sovereignty and Challenges: the US and Beyond.**

- Muller, Introduction, Chapters 1 and 2
- Zilinsky and Rohac, “Saving Democracy From Its Defenders.” *The American Interest* June 25, 2018. <https://www.the-american-interest.com/2018/06/25/saving-democracy-from-its-defenders/>

**November 29\*\* - Muller, Chapter 3 and Conclusion**

*Discussion questions:* How does the book relate to insights about the challenges of public and institutional responsiveness?

**December 4 - Constitutional design; wrap-up the class**

**December 6 - Fourth Essay Due (5:00 pm, submit via Glow)**